

**From:** "larry clark"  
**To:** <jsharvey@utah.gov>, <mbgreen@utah.gov>, <wduncan@utah.gov>  
**Date:** 1/31/2009 8:02 AM  
**Subject:** Area Code Changes/Overlay

As a consumer, resident, taxpayer, and citizen of Utah, I would like to express to you my thorough dissatisfaction with the way this Qwest Area Code Overlay, due to be effective 01 March, has been handled. The more sensible method of adding an additional Area Code for the State of Utah would have been geographical boundaries. This was not done and now it has and will create a huge confusion factor on every resident of Northern Utah within the current 801 area code boundary. I object to the way this was handled, the way it is proposed, and I would urge all of you to stop this method and demand a geographical split. It makes absolutely no sense for me to have to dial ten digits when calling someone right next door to me. This shows a total lack of common sense on the part of each and every party and individual that has participated in and approved the current proposal. It needs to be split based on geography. A few possible examples are to split at the Point of the Mountain, drawing an east-west line, or it could have been done at the Salt Lake - North Salt Lake boundary, again drawing an east-west line. Simple and easy and much less confusing. I, as well as many, many other people, oppose the current proposal and want it stopped and the geographical boundary implemented with the caveat that there will not be long distance charges for different area codes. A reply/response to this issue is requested.

Thank You,

Larry Clark

RECEIVED  
 2009 FEB - 2 A 10:40  
 UTAH PUBLIC  
 SERVICE COMMISSION

609001