

To: Utah Public Service Commission
Heber M. Wells Building
160 East 300 South
Salt Lake City, UT 84114
Phone: 801-530-6716
Fax: 801-530-6796

UTAH PUBLIC
SERVICE COMMISSION

2008 DEC 29 P 12:17

159594

RECEIVED

From: Chad Nuesmeyer

December 29, 2008

RE: "DOCKET NO. 07-057-13 – In the Matter of the Application of Questar Gas Company to Increase Distribution Non-Gas Rates and Charges to Make Tariff Modifications. As Filed by the Commission on Monday, December 22, 2008

Dear Commissioners:

Pursuant to Utah Code § 63-46b-12, an aggrieved party may file, within 30 days after the date of this Report and Order, a written request for rehearing or reconsideration by the Commission.

I am an aggrieved party. I buy the gas that heats my home from Questar. I also buy the gas that runs my Natural Gas Vehicle (NGV) from Questar.

You don't charge extra for people who heat large houses! They can use fuel any way they see fit.

You don't charge extra for people that have drafty houses! They can have huge drafts coming in under doors or through windows and not pay extra. You don't charge extra if they set their thermostats at 72 degrees all winter long or if their home heating systems are efficient.

I feel as if you have singled me out to penalize me because I have decided to run my SUV on CNG. You charge me a rate that has nothing to do with Questar's actual cost of fuel. Because of your ruling, I don't get the benefit of WEXPRO gas, even though I'm a rate-payer. Just because I use some fuel in my NGV.

This ruling is NOT well thought through. It must be revisited and revised. If you are actually concerned that some guy from Las Vegas might, occasionally buy CNG at the Top Stop in Park City... well, it defies all logic. But if you actually thought that was a good argument, have them produce a card that shows they are a Questar rate payer, this has been implemented in a number of circumstances and would cause only a nominal incremental cost.

I feel like the timing of the ruling should be questioned as well. At the core, I feel that all your ruling guarantees is that alternative fuels will become a less viable means of fueling a vehicle because your ruling unfairly TAXES me for doing what Governor Huntsman asked me to do. The vast majority of people buying CNG for their NGV are in fact RATE PAYERS who deserve to pay Questar's cost including the WEXPRO fuels. They do not deserve to pay just the higher part that MAY at some point come from a non-Questar well.

Further I am concerned about the trickle down effect of this ruling and it's potential for abuse in the name of profiteering. The ruling leaves room for abuses by Questar or an affiliate contractor to drastically and unreasonably increase fuel prices.

I pray you revisit your findings and revise your ruling to treat rate payers equally. What you are doing is unfair. It is bad for the public and bad for Utah and unfair to rate payers.

Respectfully submitted



Chad Nuesmeyer