

Rocky Mountain Power
Exhibit RMP___(SRM-4R)
Docket No. 08-035-38
Witness: Steven R. McDougal

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF UTAH

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

Exhibit Accompanying Rebuttal Testimony of Steven R. McDougal
Corrections to Proposed Intervenor Adjustments

March 2009

SUMMARY

	DPU Proposed	RMP	DPU Proposed	RMP	Variance	
	TOTAL CO. Adjustment	TOTAL CO. Adjustment	UT Allocated Adjustment	UT Allocated Adjustment	TOTAL CO.	UT Allocated
Materials & Supplies ⁽¹⁾	4,894,568	5,137,008	1,999,404	3,671,466	242,440	1,672,062
Customer Advances for Construction	(1,496,311)	(1,496,311)	(777,043)	(984,182)	-	(207,139)
Prepayments ⁽²⁾	(1,458,307)	(1,458,526)	(628,607)	(1,265,364)	(219)	(636,757)
TOTAL	1,939,950	2,226,935	593,754	1,421,920	242,221	828,166

Materials and Supplies:

Description	Account/Factor	TOTAL CO.	TOTAL CO. Dec-	TOTAL	Factor %	UT ALLOCATED
		Jun-2008 Results	2008 Results	COMPANY Adjustment		Adjustment
Materials & Supplies	154CA	1,244,821	1,311,796	66,975	0.00%	-
Materials & Supplies	154IDU	5,480,644	5,101,210	(379,434)	0.00%	-
Materials & Supplies	154OR	28,381,534	27,830,345	(551,189)	0.00%	-
Materials & Supplies	154SE	4,414,212	4,656,652	242,439	39.94%	96,830
Materials & Supplies	154SG	1,689,612	2,224,313	534,701	40.33%	215,633
Materials & Supplies	154SNPD	(3,943,599)	(4,383,329)	(439,730)	47.20%	(207,545)
Materials & Supplies	154SNPPH	(21,081)	(21,081)	(0)	40.33%	(0)
Materials & Supplies	154SNPPS	74,840,075	77,542,793	2,702,718	40.20%	1,086,575
Materials & Supplies	154SO	(2,573)	(138,028)	(135,455)	40.79%	(55,254)
Materials & Supplies	154UT	36,685,593	39,220,821	2,535,227	100.00%	2,535,227
Materials & Supplies	154WA	6,387,841	6,697,755	309,915	0.00%	-
Materials & Supplies	154WYP	8,398,773	8,476,768	77,996	0.00%	-
Materials & Supplies	154WYU	1,382,508	1,555,353	172,845	0.00%	-
TOTAL		164,938,361	170,075,368	5,137,008		3,671,466

Customer Advances for Construction:

Description	Account/Factor	TOTAL CO.	TOTAL CO. Dec-	TOTAL	Factor %	UT
		Jun-2008 Results	2008 Results	COMPANY Adjustment		ALLOCATED Adjustment
Customer Advances for Construction	252CN	-	-	-	46.01%	-
Customer Advances for Construction	252ID	(244,279)	(150,326)	93,953	0.00%	-
Customer Advances for Construction	252OR	(1,593,020)	(1,071,231)	521,790	0.00%	-
Customer Advances for Construction	252UT	(6,883,656)	(7,273,702)	(390,046)	100.00%	(390,046)
Customer Advances for Construction	252WY-All	(2,575,363)	(2,841,030)	(265,667)	0.00%	-
Customer Advances for Construction	252NUTIL	(14,299)	60,799	75,098	0.00%	-
Customer Advances for Construction	252CA	(62,074)	(52,327)	9,747	0.00%	-
Customer Advances for Construction	252WA	(298,148)	(366,064)	(67,916)	0.00%	-
Customer Advances for Construction	252SG	(7,092,427)	(8,565,697)	(1,473,270)	40.33%	(594,137)
TOTAL		(18,763,267)	(20,259,578)	(1,496,311)		(984,182)

Prepayments:

Description	Account/Factor	TOTAL CO.	13-Month Average	TOTAL	Factor %	UT
		Jun-2008 Results	as of December 2008	COMPANY Adjustment		ALLOCATED Adjustment
Prepayments	165GPS	165,217	3,795,585	3,630,368	40.79%	1,480,889
Prepayments	165ID	230,636	161,953	(68,683)	0.00%	-
Prepayments	165OR	2,900,866	1,896,757	(1,004,110)	0.00%	-
Prepayments	165OTHER	204,085	142,852	(61,233)	0.00%	-
Prepayments	165SE	2,785,261	2,624,348	(160,913)	39.94%	(64,269)
Prepayments	165SG	3,015,461	4,329,974	1,314,513	40.33%	530,113
Prepayments	165SO	27,413,349	24,139,060	(3,274,289)	40.79%	(1,335,639)
Prepayments	165UT	3,950,736	2,074,277	(1,876,459)	100.00%	(1,876,459)
Prepayments	165WYP	-	42,280	42,280	0.00%	-
TOTAL		40,665,612	39,207,086	(1,458,526)		(1,265,364)

⁽¹⁾ Total Company adjustment varies from DPU's due to variances between data request DPU 61.1 (used by DPU witness) and actual Total Company balances (including all Company codes and excluding non-utility items). As for the UT allocated amount, allocation factors used by DPU witness were not accurate factors as provided in Company's Jurisdictional Allocation Model.

⁽²⁾ Total Company adjustment varies from DPU's adjustment by \$219. DPU Exhibit 3.11 - Corrected JAM failed to specify source for DPU's calculations. Company assumes variance is immaterial in nature. As for the UT allocated amount, allocation factors used by DPU witness were not accurate factors as provided in Company's Jurisdictional Allocation Model.